

Gregg M. Galardi, Esq.
Ian S. Fredericks, Esq.
SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER &
FLOM, LLP
One Rodney Square
PO Box 636
Wilmington, Delaware 19899-0636
(302) 651-3000

Douglas M. Foley (VSB No. 34364)
Sarah B. Boehm (VSB No. 45201)
MCGUIREWOODS LLP
One James Center
901 E. Cary Street
Richmond, Virginia 23219
(804) 775-1000

- and -

Chris L. Dickerson, Esq.
SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER &
FLOM, LLP
155 North Wacker Drive
Chicago, Illinois 60606
(312) 407-0700

Counsel to the Debtors and
Debtors in Possession

IN THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA
RICHMOND DIVISION

- - - - - x
In re: : Chapter 11
:
CIRCUIT CITY STORES, INC., : Case No. 08-35653 (KRH)
et al., :
:
Debtors. : Jointly Administered
:
- - - - - x : **Obj. Deadline: November 5, 2010**
at 5:00 p.m. (ET)

**NOTICE OF PROPOSED SETTLEMENT AND STIPULATION BY AND AMONG
THE DEBTORS AND MARLON MONDRAGON**

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that, on August 10, 2009, the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Eastern District of Virginia (the "Bankruptcy Court") entered the Order Pursuant To 11 U.S.C. §§ 105 and 363, and Fed. R. Bankr. P. 2002, 9006, and 9019 Authorizing the Establishment of Procedures to Settle Certain Pre-Petition and Post-Petition Claims and Causes of Action Without Further Court Approval (the "Settlement Procedures Order") (Docket No. 4401).¹ A

¹ Capitalized terms not otherwise defined herein shall have the meanings ascribed to such terms in the Agreement (defined below) or the Settlement Procedures Order.

copy of the Settlement Procedures Order (without exhibits) is annexed as Exhibit 1.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that, pursuant to the Settlement Procedures Order, the above-captioned debtors and debtors in possession (collectively, the "Debtors")² are authorized to negotiate and enter into stipulation and settlement agreements with third parties, subject to the procedures set forth in the Settlement Procedures Order and outlined herein.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that, at this time, the Debtors have entered into a stipulation and settlement agreement (the "Agreement") with Marlon Mondragon ("Mondragon" and together with the Debtors, the "Parties" and each of which is a "Party"), a copy of which is annexed as Exhibit 2.

SUMMARY OF AGREEMENT TERMS³

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that, in accordance with paragraph 10(b) of the Settlement Procedures Order, the material terms of the Agreement are as follows:

² The Debtors and the last four digits of their respective taxpayer identification numbers are as follows: Circuit City Stores, Inc. (3875), Circuit City Stores West Coast, Inc. (0785), InterTAN, Inc. (0875), Ventoux International, Inc. (1838), Circuit City Purchasing Company, LLC (5170), CC Aviation, LLC (0841), CC Distribution Company of Virginia, Inc. (2821), Circuit City Properties, LLC (3353), Kinzer Technology, LLC (2157), Abbott Advertising Agency, Inc. (4659), Patapsco Designs, Inc. (6796), Sky Venture Corp. (0311), PRAHS, Inc. (n/a), XSStuff, LLC (9263), Mayland MN, LLC (6116), Courchevel, LLC (n/a), Orbyx Electronics, LLC (3360), and Circuit City Stores PR, LLC (5512). The address for Circuit City Stores West Coast, Inc. is 9250 Sheridan Boulevard, Westminster, Colorado 80031. For all other Debtors, the address was 9950 Mayland Drive, Richmond, Virginia 23233 and currently is 4951 Lake Brook Drive, Glen Allen, VA 23060.

³ This section of the notice constitutes a summary of the material terms of the Agreement and is being provided for convenience only and should not be relied upon in any way. All parties are strongly encouraged to review the Agreement in its entirety. In the event there is a conflict between the notice and the Agreement, the Agreement shall control in all respects.

- (i) This is a Tier I Settlement.
- (ii) Mondragon filed proof of claim number 8381 on January 30, 2009, in the amount of \$10,440.00 as a claim allegedly entitled to priority under Bankruptcy Code section 507(a)(4) ("Claim No. 8381"). Claim No. 8381 was later disallowed by virtue of the Order on Debtors' Thirtieth Omnibus Objection to Claims (Disallowance of Certain Claims for Wages and Compensation) (the "Thirtieth Omnibus Order") (D.I. 5191).
- (iii) Mondragon filed a class action adversary complaint against the Debtors on March 17, 2009 (Adv. Pro. No. 09-03073, the "Adversary Proceeding") (D.I. 2636) alleging that the Debtors violated the Worker Adjustment and Notification Act (the "WARN Act") when terminating certain employees (as amended on July 8, 2009, the "Amended Adversary Complaint") (Adv. D.I. 32).
- (iv) The Debtors filed a motion to dismiss the Amended Adversary Complaint (the "Second Motion to Dismiss") on August 24, 2009, asserting that any alleged WARN Act claim was a pre-petition claim and should be addressed through the claims administration process (Adv. D.I. 35).
- (v) The Court issued its Order Granting Motion to Dismiss the Plaintiff's Second Amended Complaint (Adv. D.I. 46) on January 7, 2010, granting the relief sought by the Debtors' in the Second Motion to Dismiss and dismissing the Adversary Proceeding.
- (vi) Mondragon filed a motion for reconsideration and to permit the filing of a class proof of claim on April 21, 2010 (the "Motion for Reconsideration") (D.I. 7253) requesting the court to

reconsider the Thirtieth Omnibus Order, which disallowed Claim No. 8381, and to authorize the filing of a class proof of claim based on alleged violations of the WARN Act.

- (vii) The Debtors filed an objection to the Motion for Reconsideration on September 20, 2010 (the "Objection") asserting that there was no basis for reconsideration and that Mondragon had not satisfied the standards for filing a class proof of claim (D.I. 8621).
- (viii) On September 27, 2010, a hearing was held whereby the Court denied the Motion for Reconsideration in its entirety (D.I. 8661), but to date has not entered an order.
- (ix) Mondragon filed a notice of appeal of the Court's order denying the Motion for Reconsideration on October 6, 2010 (the "Appeal") (D.I. 8691).
- (x) Rather than proceed with litigation concerning Claim No. 8381 and the Appeal, the parties engaged in good faith, arms' length negotiations to resolve the foregoing in their entirety.
- (xi) Upon the occurrence of the Effective Date, in full satisfaction and settlement of Claim No. 8381, the Adversary Proceeding, the Motion for Reconsideration, and the Appeal, the Parties agree that Claim No. 8381 shall be reinstated and shall be allowed as a section 507(a)(4) non-tax priority claim in the face amount of \$4,624.00 (the "Allowed Non-Tax Priority Claim"), made payable to "Kantrowitz, Goldhamer & Graifman, P.C., as escrow agent."

(xii) The Allowed Non-Tax Priority Claim shall be deemed an "allowed" claim in case number 08-35653 (KRH) for all purposes, including with respect to the Plan or as required under any chapter 7 liquidation (as applicable), and shall not be subject to enhancement or amendment, or further objection, offset, reduction, discount, impairment or subordination.

TIME AND PLACE FOR FILING OBJECTIONS TO THE PROPOSED AGREEMENT OR REQUESTING ADDITIONAL INFORMATION OR TIME TO CONSIDER THE AGREEMENT

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that, in accordance with paragraph 10(c) of the Settlement Procedures Order, any Notice Party may object (each an "Objection") to or request additional time or information (each a "Request") to evaluate the Agreement.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that all Objections and Requests must be in writing and received by counsel to the Debtors and counsel to the Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors (see information below) by no later **November 5, 2010 at 5:00 p.m. (ET)** (the "Objection Deadline"). Each Objection or Request must be served on (i) the attorneys for the Debtors, (a) Skadden, Arps, Slate, Meagher & Flom, LLP, One Rodney Square, P.O. Box 636, Wilmington, DE 19899, Attn: Gregg M. Galardi (gregg.galardi@skadden.com) and Ian S. Fredericks (ian.fredericks@skadden.com) and (b) McGuireWoods LLP, One James Center, 901 E. Cary Street, Richmond, VA 23219, Attn: Douglas M. Foley (dfoley@mcguirewoods.com) and Daniel F. Blanks (dblanks@mcguirewoods.com), and (ii)(a) Pachulski Stang Ziehl & Jones LLP, 10100 Santa Monica Blvd., 11th Floor, Los Angeles, California 90067-4100, Attn: Jeff Pomerantz (jpomerantz@pszjlaw.com) and (b) 780 Third Avenue, 36th Floor, New York, NY 10017-2024, Attn: Robert Feinstein (rfeinstein@pszjlaw.com).

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that if you object to the Agreement and you do not want the Debtors to proceed with the Agreement or you want the Court to consider your views concerning the Agreement, you or your attorney must also:

file in writing with the Court, Clerk of Court, United States Bankruptcy Court, 701 East Broad Street, Suite 4000, Richmond, Virginia 23219, or electronically (www.vaeb.uscourts.gov), a written Objection pursuant to Local Bankruptcy Rule 9013-1(H). If you mail your Objection to the Court for filing, you must mail it early enough so the Court will **receive it on or before November 5, 2010 at 5:00 p.m. (ET).**

Any Objection to an Agreement must be submitted by the method described in the foregoing sentence. Objections will be deemed filed only when actually received at the address listed above.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that, pursuant to paragraph 10(d) of the Settlement Procedures Order, if a Notice Party submits a Request, only such Notice Party shall have the later of (i) an additional five (5) days to object to the Agreement or (ii) in the case of a Request for additional information, three (3) days after receipt by the Notice Party of the additional information requested. Each Notice Party may only make one Request for additional time per Agreement, unless otherwise agreed to by the Debtors in their sole discretion.

[Remainder of page intentionally left blank]

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that, pursuant to paragraph 10(c) of the Settlement Procedures Order, if no Objection or Request is filed and served upon counsel for the Debtors and counsel for the Committee of Unsecured Creditors or counsel to the Debtors and counsel for the Committee of Unsecured Creditors do not receive a Request prior to the expiration of the Objection Deadline (as may be extended by Requests, if any, the **Debtors shall be authorized to enter into and consummate the Agreement without further order of the Court or any other action by the Debtors.**

Dated: October 29, 2010
Richmond, Virginia

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER &
FLOM, LLP
Gregg M. Galardi, Esq.
Ian S. Fredericks, Esq.
P.O. Box 636
Wilmington, Delaware
19899-0636
(302) 651-3000

- and -

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER &
FLOM, LLP
Chris L. Dickerson, Esq.
155 North Wacker Drive
Chicago, Illinois 60606
(312) 407-0700

- and -

MCGUIREWOODS LLP

/s/ Douglas M. Foley
Douglas M. Foley
(VSB No. 34364)
Sarah B. Boehm
(VSB No. 45201)
One James Center
901 E. Cary Street
Richmond, Virginia 23219
(804) 775-1000

Counsel for Debtors and Debtors in
Possession

EXHIBIT 1

(Settlement Procedures Order w/out Exhibit(s))

Gregg M. Galardi, Esq.
 Ian S. Fredericks, Esq.
 SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER &
 FLOM, LLP
 One Rodney Square
 PO Box 636
 Wilmington, Delaware 19899-0636
 (302) 651-3000

Dion W. Hayes (VSB No. 34304)
 Douglas M. Foley (VSB No. 34364)
 MCGUIREWOODS LLP
 One James Center
 901 E. Cary Street
 Richmond, Virginia 23219
 (804) 775-1000

- and -

Chris L. Dickerson, Esq.
 SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER &
 FLOM, LLP
 155 North Wacker Drive
 Chicago, Illinois 60606
 (312) 407-0700

Counsel to the Debtors and
 Debtors in Possession

IN THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA
 RICHMOND DIVISION

- - - - -	x	
	:	
In re:	:	Chapter 11
	:	
CIRCUIT CITY STORES, INC.,	:	1Case No. 08-35653 (KRH)
<u>et al.</u> ,	:	
	:	
Debtors.	:	Jointly Administered
- - - - -	x	

**ORDER UNDER 11 U.S.C. §§ 105 AND 363, AND FED. R. BANKR.
 P. 2002, 9006, AND 9019 AUTHORIZING THE ESTABLISHMENT OF
 PROCEDURES TO SETTLE CERTAIN PRE-PETITION AND POST-
 PETITION CLAIMS AND CAUSES OF ACTION WITHOUT FURTHER COURT
 APPROVAL**

Upon the motion (the "Motion")¹ of the Debtors
 for entry of an order, pursuant to sections 105 and 363

¹ Each capitalized term not otherwise defined herein shall have the meaning ascribed to it in the Motion.

of title 11 of the United States Code (the "Bankruptcy Code") and Rules 2002, 9006 and 9019 of the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure (the "Bankruptcy Rules"), for entry of an order authorizing the establishment of procedures to settle certain pre-petition and post-petition claims and causes of action without further court approval; and the Court having reviewed the Motion; and upon the record herein; and after due deliberation thereon; and good and sufficient cause appearing therefor, it is hereby:

FOUND, DETERMINED, AND CONCLUDED that:

1. Based on the affidavits of service filed, due, proper and adequate notice of the Motion has been given in accordance with the Case Management Order and that no other or further notice is necessary;
2. The Notice Procedures are fair, reasonable, and appropriate.
3. The Settlement Procedures are fair reasonable, and appropriate.
4. The Notice and Settlement Procedures were proposed in good faith.

5. Pursuant to Bankruptcy Rule 9006, cause exists to shorten the applicable notice period in Bankruptcy Rule 2002(a)(3) with respect to each Settlement.

6. Upon the expiration of the applicable Notice Period without an objection or upon resolution of any filed objection after the applicable Notice Period, each Settlement that complies with the Notice and Settlement Procedures shall be deemed (i) fair and reasonable and (ii) to have satisfied the standards under Bankruptcy Code sections 105 and 363 and Bankruptcy Rule 9019.

7. The relief requested in the Motion is in the best interests of the Debtors, their estates, their creditors, and other parties in interest.

ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that:

8. The Motion is GRANTED.

9. The Debtors are authorized, but not directed, to compromise and settle Disputed Claims and Cause of Action and Receivable Claims in accordance with the Settlement Procedures.

10. The Debtors shall provide key parties in interest with notice of each proposed Settlement. The Notice Procedures are as follows:

(a) The Debtors shall give written notice, by email or facsimile, if available, or overnight courier if email or facsimile are not available, of each proposed Settlement (the "Settlement Notice") to (i) the United States Trustee, (ii) counsel for the Committee of Unsecured Creditors, (iii) any party to the Settlement, and (iv) the Core Group and 2002 List (collectively, the "Notice Parties").

(b) The Settlement Notice (or the Settlement Agreement) shall specify (i) the identity of the other party to the Settlement, (ii) a summary of the dispute with such other party, including a statement of the Debtors' reasonable estimate of the Settlement Claim amount and the basis for the controversy, (iii) an explanation of why the Settlement of such Settlement Claim is favorable to the Debtors, their estates, and their creditors, and (iv) a copy of the proposed settlement agreement ("Settlement Agreement").

(c) The Notice Parties may object to or request additional time to evaluate the proposed Settlement in writing by no later than 5:00 p.m. (ET) (i) five (5) days for both Tier I Disputed Claims and Tier I Cause of Action and Receivable Claims or (ii) ten (10) days for both Tier II Disputed Claims and Tier II Cause of Action and Receivable Claims (each an individual "Notice Period") and serve such objection or request on counsel to the Debtors and counsel for the Creditors' Committee on or before the

expiration of the applicable Notice. If the Debtors are compromising more than one Disputed Claim and/or Cause of Action and Receivable Claim, the Tier II Notice Period shall apply to such Settlement. If no objection or written request is filed and served upon counsel for the Debtors and counsel for the Creditors' Committee or counsel to the Debtors does not receive a written request for additional information and/or additional time prior to the expiration of the applicable Notice Period, the Debtors shall be authorized to enter into and consummate the Settlement Agreement without further order of the Court or any other action by the Debtors.

(d) If a Notice Party provides a written request to counsel for the Debtors for additional information or time to evaluate the proposed Settlement, only such Notice Party shall have the later of (i) an additional five (5) days to object to the proposed Settlement or (ii) in the case of a request for additional information, three days after receipt by the Notice Party of the additional information requested. Each Notice Party may only make one request for additional time per Settlement Agreement, unless otherwise agreed to by the Debtors in their sole discretion.

(e) If a Notice Party objects to the proposed Settlement within the defined Notice Period for that particular Tier of Disputed Claim or Cause of Action and Receivable Claim, (or the additional period in the case of a Notice Party that has timely requested additional time or information to evaluate the proposed Settlement) (the "Objection Deadline") and the Debtors and such objecting Notice Party are unable to reach a consensual resolution,

the Debtors will not take any further action to consummate the proposed settlement without first obtaining Court approval for that specific Settlement. The Debtors are authorized to schedule the Settlement for a hearing at the next scheduled omnibus hearing following the Objection Deadline (or any subsequent hearing) without filing a separate motion or other pleading.

(f) If the Objection Deadline has passed and no objection has been filed with the Court or request for additional time or information has been received by the Debtors, the Debtors are authorized, but not directed, to file a "Certificate of No Objection" with the Court; provided, further, that each such Certificate shall set forth a statement that no objection was filed or received (or if any objection was filed or received, such objection has been resolved) and no request for additional time or information was received or, if such request was received, the additional period of review has expired.

(g) An objection will be considered properly filed and served only if it is filed with the Court, and actually received by the following parties on or before the Objection Deadline: (i) Clerk of the Bankruptcy Court, United States Bankruptcy Court, 701 East Broad Street - Room 4000, Richmond, VA 23219, (ii) the attorneys for the Debtors, (a) Skadden, Arps, Slate, Meagher & Flom, LLP, One Rodney Square, P.O. Box 636, Wilmington, DE 19899, Attn: Gregg M. Galardi (gregg.galardi@skadden.com) and Ian S. Fredericks (ian.fredericks@skadden.com) and (b) McGuireWoods LLP, One James Center, 901 E. Cary Street, Richmond, VA 23219, Attn: Douglas M. Foley (dfoley@mcguirewoods.com)

and Daniel F. Blanks
(dblanks@mcguirewoods.com), and (iii) (a)
Pachulski Stang Ziehl & Jones LLP, 10100
Santa Monica Blvd., 11th Floor, Los Angeles,
California 90067-4100, Attn: Jeff Pomerantz
(jpomerantz@pszjlaw.com) and (b) 780 Third
Avenue, 36th Floor, New York, NY 10017-2024,
Attn: Robert Feinstein
(rfeinstein@pszjlaw.com).

(h) All time periods set forth in the
Notice Procedures shall be calculated in
accordance with Bankruptcy Rule 9006.

11. Subject to the Notice Procedures, the
Debtors are authorized to compromise and settle Disputed
Claims as follows:

(a) Tier I With respect to Disputed
Claims, the Debtors, in their sole
discretion, may negotiate, execute and
consummate written Settlement Agreements
with the Claimants that will be binding on
the Debtors and their estates without
further action by this Court. The Debtors
may, in full settlement of such Disputed
Claims, grant any Claimant an allowed claim
of an agreed upon priority or administrative
expense claim, as applicable, in an amount
not to exceed \$500,000.

(b) Tier II With respect to Disputed
Claims, the Debtors, in their sole
discretion, may negotiate, execute and
consummate written Settlement Agreements
with the Claimants that will be binding on
the Debtors and their estates without
further action by this Court. The Debtors
may, in full settlement of such Disputed
Claims, grant any Claimant an allowed claim
(priority or non-priority, as the case may

be) or administrative expense claim, as applicable, in an amount greater than \$500,000.

12. Subject to the Notice Procedures, the Debtors are authorized to compromise and settle Cause of Action and Receivable Claims as follows:

(a) Tier I With respect to pre- and post-petition Cause of Action and Receivable Claims, the Debtors, in their sole discretion, may negotiate, execute and consummate written Settlement Agreements with third parties that will be binding on the Debtors and their estates without further action by this Court. The Debtors may, in full settlement of such Cause of Action and Receivable Claims, compromise or settle a Cause of Action and Receivable Claim resulting in a cash payment to the Debtors' estates of a value (i) equal to or greater than seventy-five percent (75%) of the Debtors' original reasonable estimate of the Cause of Action and Receivable Claim amount and (ii) equal to or less than \$1,000,000.

(b) Tier II With respect to pre- and post-petition Cause of Action and Receivable Claims, the Debtors, in their sole discretion, may negotiate, execute and consummate written Settlement Agreements with third parties that will be binding on the Debtors and their estates without further action by this Court. The Debtors may, in full settlement of such Cause of Action and Receivable Claims, compromise or settle a Cause of Action and Receivable Claim resulting in a cash payment to the Debtors' estates of a value equal to (i) more than \$1,000,000 or (ii) less than

seventy-five percent (75%) of the Debtors' original reasonable estimate of the Cause of Action and Receivable Claim amount.

13. To memorialize the Settlements, the Debtors are authorized in their sole discretion, but not directed, to enter into Settlement Agreements substantially in the form of Exhibit A attached hereto; provided, further, that the material terms of each Settlement Agreement may vary depending upon the specific facts and circumstances of each Settlement and nothing herein or therein shall be construed as impairing the Debtors' ability to tailor the form of the Settlement Agreement to each specific Settlement.

14. The Debtors are authorized, but not directed, to resolve all of the Disputed Claims and Cause of Action and Receivable Claims of a single party in a single Settlement Agreement.

15. The Debtors shall provide written notice to Kurtzman Carson Consultants LLC ("KCC"), the Debtors' authorized claims and noticing agent, with respect to any proof of claim settled pursuant to these Settlement Procedures; provided, further, that, if applicable, KCC

is authorized and directed to amend the claims register accordingly without further order of the Court.

16. Following entry of this Order, unless otherwise agreed to between the Debtors and the Creditors' Committee, the Debtors' advisors shall provide weekly updates concerning ongoing settlement discussions to the Creditors' Committee's advisors. These updates shall include, without limitation, non-privileged information mutually agreed to among the parties' advisors. Once the Debtors reach an agreement in principle with a third party, the Debtors shall share the material terms of the Settlement with the Creditors' Committee's advisors. All information shared with the Creditors' Committee's advisors shall be deemed shared subject to the existing confidentiality agreement with the Debtors.

17. Assuming no objection has been filed by the applicable Objection Deadline, immediately after the expiration of the Notice Period (or, in the case of a filed objection that has been resolved, upon filing of a Certificate of No Objection) the Settlement Agreement

shall be deemed to be a final order of this Court for all purposes, including for purposes of any appeal.

18. In the event there is an inconsistency between the Motion and this Order, this Order shall control.

19. The requirement under Local Rule 9013-1(G) of the Local Rules for the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Eastern District of Virginia to file a memorandum of law in connection with the Motion is hereby waived.

20. This Court retains jurisdiction to hear and determine all matters arising from or related to the Motion, this Order or any Settlement.

Dated: Richmond, Virginia
_____, 2009

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY JUDGE

WE ASK FOR THIS:

Gregg M. Galardi, Esq.
Ian S. Fredericks, Esq.
SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM, LLP
One Rodney Square
PO Box 636
Wilmington, Delaware 19899-0636
(302) 651-3000

- and -

Chris L. Dickerson, Esq.
SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM, LLP
155 North Wacker Drive
Chicago, Illinois 60606
(312) 407-0700

- and -

/s/ Douglas M. Foley
Dion W. Hayes (VSB No. 34304)
Douglas M. Foley (VSB No. 34364)
MCGUIREWOODS LLP
One James Center
901 E. Cary Street
Richmond, Virginia 23219
(804) 775-1000

Counsel to the Debtors
and Debtors in Possession

CERTIFICATION OF ENDORSEMENT UNDER LOCAL RULE 9022-1(C)

Pursuant to Local Bankruptcy Rule 9022-1(C), I hereby certify that the foregoing proposed order has been endorsed by or served upon all necessary parties.

/s/ Douglas M. Foley
Douglas M. Foley

EXHIBIT 2

(Settlement Agreement)

Gregg M. Galardi, Esq.
 Ian S. Fredericks, Esq.
 SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER &
 FLOM, LLP
 One Rodney Square
 PO Box 636
 Wilmington, Delaware 19899-0636
 (302) 651-3000

Douglas M. Foley (VSB No. 34364)
 Sarah B. Boehm (VSB No. 45201)
 MCGUIREWOODS LLP
 One James Center
 901 E. Cary Street
 Richmond, Virginia 23219
 (804) 775-1000

- and -

Chris L. Dickerson, Esq.
 SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER &
 FLOM, LLP
 155 North Wacker Drive
 Chicago, Illinois 60606
 (312) 407-0700

Counsel to the Debtors and
 Debtors in Possession

IN THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA
 RICHMOND DIVISION

- - - - - x
 In re: : Chapter 11
 :
 CIRCUIT CITY STORES, INC., : Case No. 08-35653 (KRH)
et al., :
 :
 Debtors. : Jointly Administered
 - - - - - x

**SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT AND STIPULATION BY AND AMONG THE
 DEBTORS AND MARLON MONDRAGON**

This settlement agreement and stipulation
 (this "Settlement Agreement") is entered into by and
 among the above-captioned debtors and debtors in

possession (the "Debtors"),¹ on the one hand, and Marlon Mondragon ("Mondragon" and together with the Debtors, the "Parties" and each of which is a "Party"), on the other hand.

GENERAL BACKGROUND

WHEREAS, on November 10, 2008 (the "Petition Date"), the Debtors each filed a voluntary petition in the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Eastern District of Virginia (the "Court") under chapter 11 of title 11 of the United States Code (the "Bankruptcy Code"); and

WHEREAS, the Debtors have continued as debtors in possession pursuant to sections 1107(a) and 1108 of the Bankruptcy Code; and

¹ The Debtors and the last four digits of their respective taxpayer identification numbers are as follows: Circuit City Stores, Inc. (3875), Circuit City Stores West Coast, Inc. (0785), InterTAN, Inc. (0875), Ventoux International, Inc. (1838), Circuit City Purchasing Company, LLC (5170), CC Aviation, LLC (0841), CC Distribution Company of Virginia, Inc. (2821), Circuit City Properties, LLC (3353), Kinzer Technology, LLC (2157), Abbott Advertising Agency, Inc. (4659), Patapsco Designs, Inc. (6796), Sky Venture Corp. (0311), Prahs, Inc. (n/a), XSStuff, LLC (9263), Mayland MN, LLC (6116), Courcheval, LLC (n/a), Orbyx Electronics, LLC (3360), and Circuit City Stores PR, LLC (5512). The address for the Debtors is 4951 Lake Brook Drive, Suite #500, Glen Allen, VA 23060.

WHEREAS, on November 12, 2008, the Office of the United States Trustee for the Eastern District of Virginia appointed a statutory committee of unsecured creditors (the "Creditors' Committee"); and

WHEREAS, to date, no trustee or examiner has been appointed in these chapter 11 cases; and

WHEREAS, on January 16, 2009, the Court authorized the Debtors, among other things, to conduct going out of business sales at the Debtors' remaining 567 stores pursuant to an agency agreement (the "Agency Agreement") between the Debtors and a joint venture, as agent (the "Agent"). On January 17, 2009, the Agent commenced going out of business sales pursuant to the Agency Agreement at the Debtors remaining stores. As of on or about March 8, 2009, the going out of business sales concluded; and

WHEREAS, on September 29, 2009, the Debtors and the Creditors Committee filed the First Amended Joint Plan of Liquidation of Circuit City Stores, Inc. and its Affiliated Debtors and Debtors In Possession and its Official Committee of Creditors Holding General Unsecured Claims (the "First Amended Plan"). The

associated disclosure statement (the "Disclosure Statement") was approved on September 24, 2009.

Confirmation of the First Amended Plan was originally scheduled for November 23, 2009, but has been adjourned from time to time; and

WHEREAS, on August 9, 2010, the Debtors and the Creditors Committee filed the Second Amended Joint Plan of Liquidation of Circuit City Stores, Inc. and its Affiliated Debtors and Debtors In Possession and its Official Committee of Creditors Holding General Unsecured Claims (the "Plan"). The Plan was confirmed on September 8, 2010; and

WHEREAS, generally, the Plan provides for the liquidation of the Debtors' remaining assets and distributions to creditors through a liquidating trust; and

WHEREAS, the Debtors are authorized under the Court's Order Under 11 U.S.C. §§ 105 and 363, and Fed. R. Bankr. P. 2002, 9006, and 9019 Authorizing the Establishment of Procedures to Settle Certain Pre-Petition and Post-Petition Claims and Causes of Action Without Further Court Approval, dated August 7, 2009

(Docket No. 4401, the "Settlement Procedures Order")² to enter into this Settlement Agreement, subject to the Notice Procedures.

SETTLEMENT BACKGROUND

A. The Mondragon Claim.

WHEREAS, on January 30, 2009, Mondragon filed proof of claim number 8381 against the Debtors' bankruptcy estates allegedly entitled to priority treatment pursuant to Bankruptcy Code section 507(a)(4) ("Claim No. 8381"). Therein, Mondragon alleged that he was entitled to \$10,440.00 in wages earned within the 90 days prior to the Petition Date for which he had not been paid; and

WHEREAS, the Debtors objected to Claim No. 8381 in the Debtors' Thirtieth Omnibus Objection to Claims (Disallowance of Certain Claims for Wages and Compensation) (D.I. 4583) (the "Thirtieth Omnibus Objection"). Therein, the Debtors objected to Claim No. 8381 on the basis that the Debtors were not liable for

² All capitalized terms not otherwise defined herein shall have the meaning ascribed to such terms in the Settlement Procedures Order.

Claim No. 8381 either because the wages had already been paid or were invalid; and

WHEREAS, Mondragon did not file a response to the Thirtieth Omnibus Objection; and

WHEREAS, on October 9, 2009, the Court entered its Order on Debtors' Thirtieth Omnibus Objection to Claims (Disallowance of Certain Claims for Wages and Compensation) (the "Thirtieth Omnibus Order") (D.I. 5191) granting the relief sought by the Debtors and disallowing Claim No. 8381; and

B. The Adversary Proceeding.

WHEREAS, on March 17, 2009, Mondragon filed a class action adversary complaint against the Debtors (Adv. Pro. No. 09-03073, the "Adversary Proceeding") alleging that the Debtors violated the Worker Adjustment and Notification Act (the "WARN Act") when terminating certain employees (as amended on March 18, 2009, the "Adversary Complaint") (D.I. 2636) (Adv. D.I. 2); and

WHEREAS, on May 1, 2009, the Debtors filed a motion to dismiss the Adversary Complaint or, in the alternative, for a more definite statement (the "First Motion to Dismiss") (Adv. D.I. 15); and

WHEREAS, on May 15, 2009, Mondragon filed a response opposing the relief sought by the Debtors' First Motion to Dismiss (the "Response") (Adv. D.I. 20); and

WHEREAS, on May 28, 2009, the Debtors filed a reply brief in support of the First Motion to Dismiss (Adv. D.I. 26); and

WHEREAS, on June 15, 2009, Mondragon filed a motion for leave to file surreply in opposition to the First Motion to Dismiss (the "Surreply Motion") (Adv. D.I. 30); and

WHEREAS, on June 23, 2009, a hearing was held regarding the Debtors' First Motion to Dismiss. The Court granted in part and deferred in part the Debtors' First Motion to Dismiss. Specifically, (i) the Court granted the Debtors' request for a more definite statement and allowed Mondragon two weeks to file such statement and (ii) the Court deferred the Debtors' motion to dismiss the Adversary Complaint (Adv. D.I. 31); and

WHEREAS, on July 8, 2009, Mondragon filed a second amended class action adversary complaint

supplemented by a more definite statement (the "Amended Adversary Complaint") (Adv. D.I. 32); and

WHEREAS, on August 24, 2009, the Debtors filed a motion to dismiss the Amended Adversary Complaint (the "Second Motion to Dismiss") asserting that any alleged WARN Act claim was a pre-petition claim and should be addressed through the claims administration process (Adv. D.I. 35); and

WHEREAS, on September 11, 2009, Mondragon filed a response opposing the relief sought by the Debtors' in the Second Motion to Dismiss (the "Second Motion to Dismiss Response") (Adv. D.I. 39); and

WHEREAS, on September 25, 2009, the Debtors filed a reply brief in support of the Second Motion to Dismiss (Adv. D.I. 41); and

WHEREAS, on January 7, 2010, the Court issued its Order Granting Motion to Dismiss the Plaintiff's Second Amended Complaint (Adv. D.I. 46) granting the relief sought by the Debtors' in the Second Motion to Dismiss and dismissing the Adversary Proceeding; and

WHEREAS, on January 11, 2010, the Court issued its Corrected Memorandum Opinion (Adv. D.I. 49) holding

that any claim by Mondragon should be adjudicated through the claims administration process and dismissing the Amended Adversary Complaint; and

C. The Motion for Reconsideration.

WHEREAS, on April 21, 2010, Mondragon filed a motion for reconsideration and to permit the filing of a class proof of claim (the "Motion for Reconsideration") (D.I. 7253). Therein, Mondragon requested the court to reconsider the Thirtieth Omnibus Order, which disallowed Claim No. 8381, and to authorize the filing of a class proof of claim based on alleged violations of the WARN Act; and

WHEREAS, on September 20, 2010 the Debtors filed an objection to the Motion for Reconsideration (the "Objection") asserting that there was no basis for reconsideration and that Mondragon had not satisfied the standards for filing a class proof of claim (D.I. 8621); and

WHEREAS, on September 23, 2010, Mondragon filed a reply to the Objection (D.I. 8642); and

WHEREAS, on September 27, 2010, a hearing was held whereby the Court denied the Motion for

Reconsideration in its entirety (D.I. 8661), but to date has not entered an order; and

D. The Appeal.

WHEREAS, on October 6, 2010, Mondragon filed a notice of appeal of the Court's order denying the Motion for Reconsideration (the "Appeal") (D.I. 8691); and

WHEREAS, rather than proceed with litigation concerning Claim No. 8381 and the Appeal, the Parties engaged in good faith, arms' length negotiations to resolve the foregoing in their entirety; and

NOW THEREFORE, subject to and in accordance with the Settlement Procedures Order, for good and valuable consideration the receipt and sufficiency of which is hereby acknowledged, the Parties hereby STIPULATE AND AGREE AND IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

1. Upon the Effective Date (as defined herein), in full satisfaction and settlement of Claim No. 8381, the Adversary Proceeding, the Motion for Reconsideration, and the Appeal, the Parties agree that Claim No. 8381 shall be reinstated and shall be allowed as a section 507(a)(4) non-tax priority claim in the face amount of \$4,624.00 (the "Allowed Non-Tax Priority

Claim")), made payable to "Kantrowitz, Goldhamer & Graifman, P.C., as escrow agent."

2. The Allowed Non-Tax Priority Claim shall be deemed an "allowed" claim in case number 08-35653 (KRH) for all purposes, including with respect to the Plan or as required under any chapter 7 liquidation (as applicable), and shall not be subject to enhancement or amendment, or further objection, offset, reduction, discount, impairment or subordination by any party in interest.

3. The Parties agree that this Settlement Agreement finally resolves Claim No. 8381, the Adversary Proceeding, the Motion for Reconsideration, and the Appeal in their entirety.

4. Upon the Effective Date, the Parties shall cause the Appeal to be dismissed with prejudice.

5. Upon the Effective Date, as defined herein, Mondragon, on behalf of himself, his successors, his assigns, and the Debtors, on behalf of themselves and each on behalf of their respective estates, successors, and assigns (including but not limited to any trustee appointed in any of these chapter 11 cases

or any successor or subsequent bankruptcy cases, any receivers and/or other custodians appointed in any action or proceeding involving the Debtors' property and the liquidating trustee under the Plan), hereby irrevocably and fully release one another from and against any and all claims or causes of action (including, but not limited to, causes of action under Bankruptcy Code sections 502, 542, 543, 544, 546, 547, 548, 549, 550, 553 and 558) arising from, in connection with, or related to Claim No. 8381, the Adversary Proceeding, the Motion for Reconsideration, and the Appeal (this paragraph, the "Releases"), but excluding the Allowed Non-Tax Priority Claim.

6. For the avoidance of doubt and notwithstanding anything to the contrary in this Settlement Agreement, with the exception of the Allowed Non-Tax Priority Claim, Mondragon shall not file nor be entitled to recover on account of any claims in the Debtors' cases.

7. Neither this Settlement Agreement, nor any statement made or action taken in connection with the negotiation of this Settlement Agreement, shall be

offered or received in evidence or in any way referred to in any legal action or administrative proceeding among or between the parties hereto, other than as may be necessary (a) to obtain approval of and to enforce this Settlement Agreement or (b) to seek damages or injunctive relief in connection with such approval and enforcement.

8. Each Party hereto shall execute and deliver any and all additional papers, documents and other assurances, and shall do any and all acts and things reasonably necessary or appropriate in conjunction with the performance of their respective obligations hereunder.

9. No provision of this Settlement Agreement is intended to confer any rights, benefits, remedies, obligations or liabilities hereunder upon any person other than the parties hereto and their respective successors.

10. Except where preempted by applicable Federal law, this Settlement Agreement shall be governed by and construed in accordance with the internal laws of

the Commonwealth of Virginia without regard to any choice of law provisions.

11. This Settlement Agreement may be signed in counterpart originals and delivered by facsimile or email, which, when fully executed, shall constitute a single original.

12. This Settlement Agreement constitutes the entire agreement and understanding of the parties regarding the Agreement and the subject matter thereof.

13. The United States Bankruptcy Court for the Eastern District of Virginia shall retain exclusive jurisdiction (and the parties consent to such retention of jurisdiction) with respect to any disputes arising from or related to, or other actions to interpret, administer or enforce the terms and provisions of, this Settlement Agreement.

14. Each person or entity who executes this Settlement Agreement on behalf of another person or entity represents and warrants that he, she, or it is duly authorized to execute this Settlement Agreement on behalf of such person or entity, has the requisite authority to bind such person or entity, and such person

or entity has full knowledge of and has consented to this Settlement Agreement. The representations and warranties set forth in this paragraph shall survive execution of this Settlement Agreement.

15. This Settlement Agreement shall not be modified, altered, amended or vacated without the written consent of all parties hereto or order of the Bankruptcy Court.

16. This Settlement Agreement and all of its terms shall be effective upon the later of (i) execution by both Parties, (ii) the expiration of the applicable Notice Period, or (iii) the resolution of any objection properly filed in accordance with the terms of the Settlement Procedures Order (the "Effective Date").

17. This Settlement Agreement shall inure to the benefit of and be binding upon the successors and assigns of the Parties hereto, including any Chapter 7 trustee or the Liquidating Trustee under the Plan.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, this Settlement Agreement
is hereby executed as of October 29, 2010.

ACCEPTED AND AGREED TO BY:

CIRCUIT CITY STORES, INC.

By:

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM, LLP
Gregg M. Galardi, Esq.
Ian S. Fredericks, Esq.
P.O. Box 636
Wilmington, Delaware 19899-0636
(302) 651-3000

- and -

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM, LLP
Chris L. Dickerson, Esq.
155 North Wacker Drive
Chicago, Illinois 60606
(312) 407-0700

- and -

MCGUIREWOODS LLP

/s/ Douglas M. Foley

Douglas M. Foley (VSB No. 34364)
Sarah B. Boehm (VSB No. 45201)
One James Center
901 E. Cary Street
Richmond, Virginia 23219
(804) 775-1000

Counsel for Circuit City Stores, Inc.,
et al., Debtors and Debtors in Possession

MARLON MONDRAGON

By:

/s/ Gary S. Graifman
Gary S. Graifman
Kantrowitz, Goldhamer & Graifman, P.C.
747 Chestnut Ridge Road, Suite 200
Chestnut Ridge, NY 10977
(845) 356-2570

Attorney for Marlon Mondragon